1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 HEATHER PAINTER, 8 Plaintiff/Counterdefendant. Case No. 2:12-cv-01215-JCM-NJK 9 ORDER DENYING PROPOSED VS. DISCOVERY PLAN (Docket No. 15) AARON ATWOON, D.D.S., and ATWOOD 10 DENTAL CARE, PLLC, 11 Defendants/Counterclaimant 12 13 Pending before the Court is the Proposed Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (# 15), which is hereby **DENIED**. The proposed discovery plan is deficient in a number of respects. First, 14 15 the Local Rules require proposed discovery plans to "state the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared." Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). The submitted discovery plan fails to do so. Second, 16 17 the presumptive discovery period is 180 days from the date the first defendant answers. Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). The proposed plan seeks additional time by calculating the 180-day period as 18 19 commencing from the date "Heather Atwood will have filed a response to Atwood' Counterclaim and Third-Party Complaint of February 12, 2013." See Proposed Joint Discovery Plan and 20 21 Scheduling Order (# 15) at 3. The parties provide no explanation for this proposed delay. Lastly, the 22 discovery plan must be submitted 14 days after the Rule 26 conference (Local Rule 26-1(d)), which 23 occurred in this case on January 10, 2013. Nonetheless, the parties filed their proposed discovery plan 32 days later, on February 11, 2103, with no explanation for the delay. 24 25 26 /// 27 ///

28

///

Case 2:12-cv-01215-JCM-NJK Document 17 Filed 02/12/13 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is **DENIED**. The parties are ordered, no later than February 19, 2013, to file another proposed discovery plan that complies with the Local Rules. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 12th day of February, 2013. NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge